

# EGLESTON LAW FIRM

360 FURMAN STREET, SUITE 443, BROOKLYN, NY 11201

**Attorney Advertising —  
Prior results do not  
guarantee a similar  
outcome**

INVESTIGATIONS:

- Wal-Mart Stores**
- Bank of America**
- Nat'l Education**
- Wachovia**
- Northrop Grumman**
- General Electric**

Our investigation is directed at the above-listed companies use of flawed data to set artificially low reimbursement rates for out-of-network healthcare services (“ONET”) benefits, resulting in various violations of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. The above-listed companies alleged use of flawed data to set reimbursement rates, regardless whether such use was knowing or intentional, constitutes a provable cause of consistent under-reimbursement of participants in the above-listed companies employer-sponsored health care plans.

*If you are a member of one of the above-listed companies’ employer-sponsored health care plans, and want more information on your rights, please contact us at the number listed below.*

**Attorney Advertising — Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.**

## EGLESTON LAW FIRM FILES AMENDED COMPLAINT AGAINST PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

The action is filed derivatively on behalf of the Plan against AIG International Group Inc.’s (“AIG” or the “Company”) auditor PricewaterhouseCoopers, LLP (“PwC”), for PwC’s negligence in conducting the review and audit of AIG’s financial statements during the Relevant Period (defined below). Plaintiff also brings this action on behalf of the Plan for the breach of fiduciary duties by the Plan’s AIG Retirement Board for failing to preserve and maintain the assets of the Plan by failing to initiate an action on behalf of the Plan to recover losses caused by PwC’s negligently conducted review and audit of AIG’s financial statements.

From November 7, 2007 through and including September 16, 2008 (the “Relevant Period”), the Plan acquired and held shares of AIG common stock (“AIG Stock” or “Company Stock”), which was offered as one of the retirement saving options in the partic-

pant contribution component of the Plan.

At all times relevant, PwC served as the auditor for AIG and knew, or should have known, that: (a) AIG’s financial statements were materially inaccurate; (b) AIG’s Stock price was artificially inflated due to materially inaccurate financial statements and, if the truth had been known, would trade well below the price at which it was then-trading; (c) AIG’s financial statements, as filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”), were incorporated into the Company’s Form S-8; and (d) therefore, it was reasonably foreseeable that AIG’s materially inaccurate financial statements would be relied upon by the Plan participants and beneficiaries.

As a result of PwC’s negligence in connection with its 2007 Third Quarter review and

its 2007 year end audit of AIG’s financial statements, the Plan suffered substantial losses, resulting in the depletion of millions of dollars from the retirement savings and anticipated retirement income of the Plan’s participants.

## ERISA CLASS ACTION FILED AGAINST UBS AG

A lawsuit was filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York against UBS AG (“UBS” or the “Company”) (NYSE: UBS), on behalf of all plan participants who purchased or held UBS stock in (i) the Company’s Savings and Investment Plan and (ii) UBS Financial Ser-

vices, Inc. 401(k) Plus Plan (the “Plans”). The action covers those who purchased or held UBS stock in the Plans from May 13, 2007 to October 16, 2008 (the “Class Period”).

**Attorney Advertising — Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.**